

On Feb 13, 2020, at 2:03 PM, ralph baird <runrhinorun@yahoo.com> wrote:

TO:: PROJECT MANAGER. LAURA HICKS

I WOULD LIKE THE USACE TO FOCUS ON ALTERNATIVE # 4 ; WHICH WE **FULLY ENDORSE** AND APPEARS TO MEET PLAN OBJECTIVES ON BRIDGETON ROAD.

THERE HAVE BEEN AT LEAST THREE (3) HIGH WATER EVENT(S) IN THE PAST SEVENTY PLUS YEARS AND NEVER HAVE THESE EVENTS TOPPED THE LEVEE ALONG BRIDGETON ROAD. WE ASSUME THAT ROUTINE MAINTENANCE, NECESSARY REPAIRS, ETC...WILL CONTINUE TO OCCUR TIMELY AND SAFELY TO PROTECT THE LEVEE.

ALTERNATIVE # 5; IS "ILLOGICAL, NON ECONOMICAL AND CAUSES EXTREME DAMAGE AND SOCIAL UPHEAVAL" TO THE QUALITY OF LIFE AND LIVABILITY TO EVERYONE ON THE COLUMBIA RIVER AND ALONG BRIDGETON ROAD.

WE DO **NOT** RECOMMEND ALTERNATIVE # 5 IT SHOULD BE REH-JECTED, ABANDONED OR RE-STUDIED FURTHER TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL CONCERNED PARTIES.

RESPECTIVELY SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF

MICHAEL SAWULA
207 N BRIDGETON ROAD
PORTLAND, R 97217